

17 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 7

RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: CONSTITUTIONAL MATTERS

AUTHOR: MARK WALL

THE DECISION

- (1) That the committee's terms of reference, as set out in Appendix A to the report, be noted.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

- (1) The recommendations are being put forward in line with the requirements of the constitution.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- (1) The Joint Commissioning Board could have remained a separate Board but it was considered that the previous arrangements involved an unacceptable level of duplication, with the same reports being taken to both Joint Commissioning Board and Adult Care & Health Committee. Having two separate agendas with meetings running in succession was also considered cumbersome.

Proper Officer:

Date: 18 June 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

17 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 8

RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: COMMUNITY SHORT TERM SERVICES
- AN UPDATE

AUTHOR: ANNA MCDEVITT

THE DECISION

(1) That the general update on the Community Short Term Service be noted.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

(1) No recommendations are being made as part of this report

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

(1) When a further paper is submitted relating to the key areas for decisions as set out in paragraph 4.2, options for solutions will be evaluated.

Proper Officer:

Date: 18 June 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (iii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (iv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

17 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 9

RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: SUSSEX INTEGRATED END OF LIFE
AND DEMENTIA CARE SUSSEX
PATHWAY (JUNE)

AUTHOR: SIMONE LANE

THE DECISION

- (1) That the revised pathway be approved for implementation to enable health and social care providers to ensure that the needs of people with dementia are integrated into end of life care planning, service specifications and contractual agreements.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

- (1) To ensure the pathway is successfully implemented across Brighton and Hove and fulfil the requirements as described in the Join Dementia Plan.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- (1) The development of an integrate end of life and dementia care pathway was identified as a need in response to both the National Dementia Strategy and the End of Life Care Strategy and reflects the identified needs and consultation locally.

Proper Officer:

Date: 18 June 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (v) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (vi) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

17 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 11

RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: FINANCE REPORT

AUTHOR: ANNE SILLEY

THE DECISION

- (1) That the provisional outturn position for Adult Services and NHS Trust Managed S75 Budgets be noted.
- (2) That budget information for Adult Services and NHS Trust Managed S75 Budgets, and Public Health for the 2013/14 financial year be noted.
- (3) That the proposed reporting timetable be agreed and that the committee receive a S75 performance report as indicated to avoid duplication.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

- (1) The Committee requested detailed financial information on performance.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- (1) Not applicable.

Proper Officer:

Date: 18 June 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (vii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (viii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

17 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 12

RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: DAY ACTIVITIES REVIEW PROGRESS
REPORT

AUTHOR: ANNE RICHARDSON-LOCKE

THE DECISION

- (1) That the progress of the Day Activities Review and the proposals for the changes to the Council provided services be noted.
- (2) That the proposal to return with a further progress report in November 2013 be agreed.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

- (1) This report follows the agreed recommendations noted in the November 2012 report to Adult Care & Health Committee with regard to the Day Activity Review. This report is for noting progress made on those recommendations.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- (1) The alternative option is for Council provided day services to remain as they are. The impact of this would be that Day Options would not be able to support the increased numbers of people with learning disabilities and complex needs and service users and carers would not benefit from more flexible, personalised provision.

Proper Officer:

Date: 18 June 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (ix) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (x) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

17 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 13

RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: CONNAUGHT DAY SERVICE

AUTHOR: NAOMI COX

THE DECISION

- (1) That the decision to consult users of the Connaught Day Service on the proposed new site at Patcham House School made by the Executive Director of Adult Social Services in consultation with the Committee Chair Cllr Jarrett, be noted.
- (2) That it be approved that the Executive Director of Adult Social Services use her Constitutional Delegated Powers to make a decision concerning the proposed move of the Day Service from the Connaught Building to Patcham House School informed by the consultation process, EIA and related Decision of the extraordinary meeting of the Children and Young People's Committee proposed for 9 September 2013.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

- (1) To inform Committee of the Decision taken by the Executive Director of Adult Care in compliance with the Constitution and to seek a decision on the process for determining the outcome of the consultation and related Children's Services decision.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- (1) The Connaught Day Service could not be relocated into the remaining Day Services buildings due to lack of space for this specialist service. There were initial proposals that Downs Park School be considered as a potential relocation option. Patcham House however offers a better site with the premises all being on one level.

Proper Officer:

Date: 18 June 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (xi) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,

(xii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

17 June 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 14

RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: EXTRA CARE HOUSING UPDATE

AUTHOR: MARTIN REID

THE DECISION

- (1) That it be noted that the recommendations set out in paragraphs (a) to (c) below were approved by the Housing Committee held on 6 March 2013 and the Policy & Resources Committee held on 21 March 2013.
- (a) That Committee note the proposed Brooke Mead Extra Care scheme which will be funded through affordable rents, a contribution from Adult Social Care revenue budgets, shared ownership and subsidy funding incorporated within the recent bid to the Homes & Communities Agency (HCA).
- (b) That Committee note proposals to proceed with a Planning application for the approval of extra care housing on the Brooke Mead Extra Care scheme, the current timetable for the proposed development and the pursuit of other funding options as detailed in the report.
- (c) That the Committee recommend that the Policy and Resources Committee agree that the vacant Housing Revenue Account ('HRA') block of Brooke Mead, Albion Street, Brighton as shown on the annexed plan be demolished in order to be redeveloped, subject to Planning consent.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

- (1) Reasons for report recommendations are included in the body of the report.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- (1) The report outlines the opportunity to support the requirement for new supply of extra care housing that has arisen with the Brooke Mead site aligned to the opportunity to bid for HCA funding under the Care and Support Specialist Housing Fund.
- (2) Alternative options for the supply of additional extra care housing in the City will be subject to future reports to Committee.

Proper Officer:

Date: 18 June 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services
Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (xiii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (xiv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

